

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,289 06/24/2003		Heinrich Hofmann	P/22-230	3250
2352	7590 03/21/2006	EXAMINER		
	NK FABER GERB & S JE OF THE AMERICAS	RODRIGUEZ	RODRIGUEZ, PAMELA	
	, NY 100368403	, .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/603,289	HOFMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Pam Rodriguez	3683		

	Pam Rodriguez	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 March 2006</u>. A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Delow);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>5</u> .	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4,6 and 7.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s)	
13. Other:		Pam Rodriguez Primary Examiner	(:1
		Pam Rodriguez	
		Primary Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/603,289

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 7, the remaining rejected independent claim, still reads on the Vignotto et al reference. Applicant argues that 1) the reference numeral 37 used by the examiner to read on applicant's "connecting elements" is actually not an element but rather an absence of one and 2) that there is no projection in Vignotto et al which transmits torque from the wheel bearing to the wheel carrier. In response to applicant's first argument, while applicant is correct that a hole is an absence of a piece of material within another component, it does not preclude it from being an element. Holes 37 in Vignotto et al certainly exist and are used to connect components of the invention together and thus, in the examiner's view are broadly readable as "elements". And with regards to applicant's argument that there is no projection in the reference that transmits torque from the wheel bearing to the wheel carrier, element 38 (a pin) is certainly readable as a projection and as alluded to in column 2 lines 50-55 is a "stress transferring element". Thus, the reference implies that these projecting pins would transmit at least some torque from the wheel bearing 3 to the wheel carrier 4. It is for these reasons that the remaining rejections have been maintained.